This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China] On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:51 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# **Journal of Coordination Chemistry**

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20>

**Synthesis, characterization, and cytotoxic activity of tricyclohexyltin(IV) carboxylates derived from cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides**

Laijin Tian  $^{\rm a}$  , Hui Cao  $^{\rm a}$  , Shouxin Wang  $^{\rm b}$  , Yuxi Sun  $^{\rm a}$  & Zhi Liu  $^{\rm a}$ <sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Green Natural Products and Pharmaceutical Intermediates , Qufu Normal University , Qufu , China

**b** Academy of Basic and Forensic Medicine, Jining Medical University , Jining , China

Accepted author version posted online: 17 Jan 2013.Published online: 28 Feb 2013.

**To cite this article:** Laijin Tian , Hui Cao , Shouxin Wang , Yuxi Sun & Zhi Liu (2013) Synthesis, characterization, and cytotoxic activity of tricyclohexyltin(IV) carboxylates derived from cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 66:4, 624-637, DOI: [10.1080/00958972.2013.767446](http://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/00958972.2013.767446)

**To link to this article:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2013.767446>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at [http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)[and-conditions](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)



# Synthesis, characterization, and cytotoxic activity of tricyclohexyltin(IV) carboxylates derived from cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides

LAIJIN TIAN\*†, HUI CAO†, SHOUXIN WANG‡, YUXI SUN† and ZHI LIU†

†Key Laboratory of Green Natural Products and Pharmaceutical Intermediates, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, China

‡Academy of Basic and Forensic Medicine, Jining Medical University, Jining, China

(Received 2 February 2012; in final form 13 November 2012)

Some tricyclohexyltin(IV) carboxylates, HOOC–R–COOSn( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (1) and ( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnOOC– R–COOSn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2) [R = 1,2-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (a), 1,2-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (b), (Z)-CH=CH (c), CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (d)], have been synthesized from reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide with cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides under microwave irradiation in 1 : 1 and 2 : 1 M ratio, respectively, and characterized by elemental analysis, IR and NMR  $(^{1}H, ^{13}C,$  and  $^{119}Sn)$  spectra. Crystal structures of 1a-1c and 2d are determined by X-ray single crystal diffraction. The carboxylate in each compound is monodentate to tin. Compounds 1a and 1c possess a  $trans-C_3SnO_2$  trigonal bipyramidal geometry with axial positions occupied by carboxylate and carbonyl oxygen of carboxylate of an adjacent molecule forming a one-dimensional chain. Compound 1b is tetrahedral and forms  $R_2^2(8)$  hydrogen-bonded dimers by pairs of intermolecular  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds between two carboxylic acid groups. Compound 2d is dinuclear with tin possessing distorted tetrahedral geometry; a trimer supramolecular structure is formed by weak intermolecular  $Sn \cdot \cdot O$  interactions. The compounds have potent in vitro cytotoxic activity against two human tumor cell lines, A549 and HeLa.

Keywords: Organotin complex; Tricyclohexyltin(IV) carboxylate; Cytotoxic activity; Crystal structure

#### 1. Introduction

Organotin carboxylates receive attention for varied applications  $[1–3]$ , as catalysts, PVC stabilizers, biocides, antifouling agents, and as wood preservatives [3–5]. Investigations have been carried out to test their antitumor activity and some organotin species show potential as antineoplastic agents [5–8]. In general, the organotin moiety, the ligand (carboxylic acid), and the coordination number of tin play important roles in determining their cytotoxicity activity [5–9]. Aminobenzoic acids, pyridinedicarboxylic acid, 4-ketopimelic acid, and cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid have been used for design and synthesis of organotin carboxylates [9–12].

Microwave irradiation reduces reaction times from many hours to a few minutes [13]. Triorganotin carboxylates are usually synthesized by reaction of triorganotin hydroxide and

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: laijintian@163.com

a carboxylic acid in benzene or toluene with azeotropic removal of water. The reactions often take 8–10 h [14]. In order to continue to expand the structural chemistry and therapeutic potential of triorganotin carboxylates, we synthesize tricyclohexyltin carboxylates with a carboxylic acid group by reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide with cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides under microwave irradiation and determined their cytotoxic activity against two human tumor cell lines, A549 and HeLa.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials and physical measurements

Tricyclohexyltin hydroxide (Merck Chemicals) and other chemicals (Shanghai Chemicals, China) were of reagent grade and used without purification. Microwave synthesis was carried out on an XH-100A microwave synthesis apparatus (made by Beijing Xiang Hu Science and Technology Development Co. Ltd.). Carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen analyses were obtained using a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II elemental analyzer. The melting points were measured on a WRS-1A digital melting point apparatus. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 470 FT-IR spectrophotometer using KBr discs from  $4000$  to  $400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H and  $13^{\circ}$ C NMR spectral data were collected using a Bruker Avance 300 FT-NMR spectrometer with  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  as solvent and Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Varian Mercury V $\times$ 300 spectrometer using Me<sub>4</sub>Sn external reference.

# 2.2. Synthesis of the complexes

**2.2.1.** Synthesis of 2-HOOCC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>COOSn( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (1a). A mixture of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide (0.77 g, 2 mmol) and phthalic anhydride (0.30 g, 2 mmol) in dry 1,4-dioxane (50 mL) was heated on reflux for 20 min in a XH-100A microwave reactor (400 W) and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solution was filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The white solid obtained was recrystallized from ethanol to afford colorless crystals of 1a  $(0.85 g, 80\%)$ , m.p. 170–171 °C. Anal. Calcd for C26H38O4Sn (%): C, 58.56; H, 7.18. Found: C, 58.44; H, 7.09. IR (KBr): 3454 [broad, v(OH)], 1672 [v(C=O)], 1627 [v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)], 1365 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 496 (Sn-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 12.07 (s, OH), 7.20–7.98 (4H, m, Ar–H), 1.95–1.26 (33H, m, Sn(c- $C_6H_{11}$ )<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 171.86 (COOSn), 169.47 (COOH), 132.93, 132.62, 131.23, 131.05, 128.87, 128.36 (Ar-C), 33.84  $(\frac{1}{10^{119}}Sn^{-13}C) = 338 Hz$ , C-a), 31.14  $(\frac{2}{10^{119}}ST^{-13}C) = 338 Hz$  $(1^{19}Sn^{-13}C) = 15 Hz$ , C- $\beta$ ), 29.06  $(3J(1^{19}Sn^{-13}C) = 62 Hz$ , C- $\gamma$ ), 27.03 (C- $\delta$ ).  $1^{19}Sn$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 16.05.

2.2.2. Synthesis of 2-HOOCC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>COOSn( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (1b). Complex 1b was prepared by the same procedure as 1a by reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide  $(0.77 g, 2 mmol)$ with tetrafluorophthalic anhydride (0.44 g, 2 mmol). Yield 1.02 g (85%), m.p. 166–167 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{26}H_{34}F_4O_4Sn$  (%): C, 51.59; H, 5.66. Found: C, 51.54; H, 5.49. IR (KBr): 3387 [broad, v(OH)], 1688 [v(C=O)], 1629 [v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)], 1387 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 500 (Sn–O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 12.39 (s, OH), 1.92–1.30 (33H, m, Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.76 (COOSn), 169.96 (COOH), 165.13–114.17 (complex C–F coulping, Ar–C), 33.98  $({}^{1}J(^{119}Sn-{}^{13}C)=332 Hz$ , C- $\alpha$ ), 30.91  $({}^{2}J(^{119}Sn-{}^{13}C)=14 Hz$ , C- $\beta$ ), 28.75 ( $\overline{3}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$  = 65 Hz, C- $\gamma$ ), 26.72 (C- $\delta$ ).  $^{119}Sn$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 15.26.

2.2.3. Synthesis of (Z)-HOOCCH=CHCOOSn( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (1c). Complex 1c was prepared by the same procedure as  $1a$  by reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide (0.77 g, 2 mmol) with maleic anhydride  $(0.20 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield  $0.78 \text{ g} (80\%)$ , m.p. 151–152 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{22}H_{36}O_4\text{Sn}$  (%): C, 54.68; H, 7.51. Found: C, 54.66; H, 7.39. IR (KBr): 3450 [broad, v(OH)], 1667 [v(C=O)], 1613 [v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)], 1338 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 490 (Sn-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 11.96 (s, OH), 6.34 (1H, d, J=12.5 Hz, CH), 6.25 (1H, d,  $J=12.5$  Hz, CH), 1.94–1.28 (33H, m, Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.16 (COOSn), 167.22 (COOH), 131.35, 130.09 (CH=CH), 34.08  $({}^{1}J({}^{119}Sn^{-13}C)=339 Hz)$ , 31.26  $({}^2J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 17 Hz$ , 29.14  $({}^3J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 66 Hz$ , 27.14  $(C-\delta)$ .  ${}^{119}Sn$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 13.56.

2.2.4. Synthesis of  $HOOCCH_2CH_2COOSn(c-C_6H_{11})$ <sub>3</sub> (1d). Complex 1d was synthesized by the same procedure as 1a from tricyclohexyltin hydroxide  $(0.77 g, 2 mmol)$  with succinic anhydride  $(0.20 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield  $0.82 \text{ g}$   $(85\%)$ , m.p. 142–144 °C. Anal. Calcd for C22H38O4Sn (%): C, 54.45; H, 7.89. Found: C, 54.32; H, 7.66. IR (KBr): 3448 [broad, v(OH)], 1683 [v(C=O)], 1634 [v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)], 1378 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 487 (Sn-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 11.46 (s, OH), 2.62–2.55 (4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.01–1.31 (33H, m, Sn(c- $C_6H_{11}$ )<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 175.67 (COOSn), 172.07 (COOH), 33.96 ( $\sqrt[1]{U^{19}Sn^{-13}C}$ )  $= 346 \text{ Hz}$ ), 31.34  $({}^2\mathcal{J}^{(119}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C}) = 13 \text{ Hz}$ ), 30.48, 29.92 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.21  $({}^3\mathcal{J}^{(119}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C})$  $= 65$  Hz), 27.09 (C-δ). <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 12.89.

2.2.5. Synthesis of 1,2-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>[COOSn( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2a). Complex 2a was prepared by the same procedure as 1a by reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide  $(1.54 g, 4 mmol)$  with phthalic anhydride (0.30 g, 2 mmol). Yield  $1.56$  g (87%), m.p. 159–160 °C. Anal. Calcd for C44H70O4Sn2 (%): C, 58.69; H, 7.84. Found: C, 58.36; H, 7.60. IR (KBr): 1636 [v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)], 1328 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 494 (Sn-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.76 (2H, dd,  $J = 7.8$ , 1.6 Hz, Ar–H), 7.58 (2H, dd,  $J = 7.8$ , 1.6 Hz, Ar–H), 2.12–1.20 (66H, m, 2[Sn(c- $C_6H_{11}$ )<sub>3</sub>]). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.04 (COOSn), 133.02, 131.96, 129.87 (Ar–C), 33.84  $({}^{1}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 336 Hz$ , C- $\alpha$ ), 31.08  $({}^{2}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 16 Hz$ , C- $\beta$ ), 28.98  $({}^{3}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$ = 64 Hz, C-γ), 26.93 (C-δ). <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 16.75.

2.2.6. Synthesis of  $1,2-C_6F_4[COOSn(c-C_6H_{11})_3]_2$  (2b). Complex 2b was prepared by the same procedure as 1a by reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide  $(1.54 g, 4 mmol)$  with tetrafluorophthalic anhydride (0.44 g, 2 mmol). Yield 1.59 g (82%), m.p. 178–179 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{44}H_{66}F_{4}O_{4}Sn_{2}$  (%): C, 54.35; H, 6.84. Found: C, 54.49; H, 6.76. IR (KBr): 1646 [v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)], 1334 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 486 (Sn-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 2.12-1.16 (66H, m, 2[Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 171.34 (COOSn), 165.68–115.06 (complex C–F coulping, Ar–C), 33.96  $({}^{1}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 339$  Hz, C- $\alpha$ ), 31.23  $({}^{2}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$ = 15 Hz, C- $\beta$ ), 29.04 ( $\beta J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$  = 64 Hz, C- $\gamma$ ), 27.03 (C- $\delta$ ).  $^{119}Sn$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 17.82.

2.2.7. Synthesis of  $(Z)$ -(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnOOCCH=CHCOOSn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2c). Complex 2c was prepared by the same procedure as **1a** from tricyclohexyltin hydroxide  $(1.54 g,$ 4 mmol) with maleic anhydride (0.20 g, 2 mmol). Yield 1.53 g (90%, Ref. [15]: 38%), m.p. 151–152 °C (Ref. [15]: 149–151 °C). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{40}H_{68}O_4Sn_2$  (%): C, 56.50; H, 8.06. Found: C, 56.21; H, 7.89. IR (KBr): 1654  $[v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>as</sub>)]$ , 1368  $[v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)]$ , 492 (Sn–O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.24 (2H, s, CH=CH), 2.14-1.18 (66H, m, 2[Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 173.86 (COOSn), 133.42 (CH=CH), 33.68 (<sup>1</sup>J(<sup>119</sup>Sn-<sup>13</sup>C)=342 Hz), 31.14  $({}^2J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 15 Hz$ , 28.94  $({}^3J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C) = 66 Hz$ , 27.02  $(C-\delta)$ .  ${}^{119}Sn$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 10.96.

2.2.8. Synthesis of  $(c-C_6H_{11})_3$ SnOOCCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>COOSn $(c-C_6H_{11})_3$  (2d). Complex 2d was synthesized by the same procedure as **1a** by reaction of tricyclohexyltin hydroxide  $(1.54 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$  with succinic anhydride  $(0.20 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield  $1.58 \text{ g}$   $(93\%$ , Ref. [15]: 78%), m.p. 186–188 °C (Ref. [15]: 186–191 °C). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{40}H_{70}O_4Sn_2$  (%): C, 56.36; H, 8.28. Found: C, 56.18; H, 7.97. IR (KBr): 1648 [ν(COO)as)], 1387 [(v(COO<sup>-</sup>)<sub>s</sub>)], 496 (Sn-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.54 (4H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.07-1.21 (66H, m, 2[Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 176.17 (COOSn), 33.47 (<sup>1</sup>J(<sup>119</sup>Sn-<sup>13</sup>C)  $= 340 \text{ Hz}$ ),  $30.96 \frac{(^{2}J(^{119}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C})}{=15 \text{ Hz}}$ ),  $31.17 \frac{(CH_{2}CH_{2})}{=29.05} \frac{(^{3}J(^{119}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C})}{=63 \text{ Hz}}$ ) 27.01 (C- $\delta$ ).  $^{119}$ Sn NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 9.87.

#### 2.3. X-ray crystallography

Colorless single crystals of 1a–1c and 2d were obtained from slow evaporation of methanol solution. Intensity data for the crystals were measured at 295(2) K on a Bruker Smart Apex area-detector diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (0.71073 Å) using the  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scan technique. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by a full-matrix least squares based on  $F^2$  using SHELX-97 [16]. Nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogens were placed at calculated positions in the riding model approximation. In refinements, the C–C bonds and 1,3-distances of the disordered cyclohexyl groups were restrained to  $1.52(1)$  and  $2.50(2)$  Å, respectively. Several carbons are refined using the pseudo-isotropic 'ISOR' restraint as the free refinement gave unrealistic anisotropic displacement parameters. Crystallographic parameters and refinements of 1a–1c and 2d are listed in table 1.

#### 2.4. In vitro cytotoxicity

Cytotoxic activities were assayed against two human tumor cell lines, A549 (lung tumor cell) and HeLa (cervix tumor cell). The samples were prepared by dissolving the test compounds in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and by diluting the resultant solutions with water. In the assays, the final concentration of DMSO was less than 0.1% (concentration used was found to be noncytotoxic against tumor cells). In vitro cytotoxic activities of the compounds were measured by the MTT assay according to the literature [17]. All cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated new-born calf serum at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a humidified  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> incubator and were seeded into each well of a 96-well plate and fixed for 24 h. The following day, different concentrations of the test compounds were added. After incubation with various concentrations of test

Compound	1a	1 <sub>b</sub>	1c	2d
Empirical formula	$C_{26}H_{38}O_4Sn$	$C_{26}H_{34}F_4O_4Sn$	$C_{44}H_{72}O_8Sn_2$	$C_{40}H_{70}O_4Sn_2$
Formula weight	533.27	605.22	966.40	852.34
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Orthorhombic	Triclinic
Space group	C2/c	$P-1$	P <sub>bcn</sub>	$P-1$
$a/\text{A}$	19.5284(14)	9.962(3)	22.32(2)	9.829(4)
$b/\text{\AA}$	15.2127(11)	10.645(3)	12.476(11)	15.304(6)
$c/\text{\AA}$	17.8738 (13)	12.920(4)	34.09(3)	21.190(8)
$\alpha$ (°)	90	85.734(3)	90	78.521(4)
$\beta$ (°)	107.141(1)	76.633(3)	90	82.361(4)
$\gamma$ (°)	90	85.047(3)	90	83.747(4)
Volume/ $\AA^3$	5074.1(6)	1325.9(7)	9493(15)	3085(2)
Z	8	2	8	3
$D_c/(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$	1.396	1.516	1.352	1.376
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.035	1.021	1.098	1.250
F(000)	2208	616	4000	1326
$\theta$ range	$1.73 - 26.00$	$1.62 - 25.10$	$1.19 - 25.30$	1.36-25.02
Crystal size/mm	$0.19 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$	$0.22 \times 0.13 \times 0.12$	$0.18 \times 0.13 \times 0.09$	$0.33 \times 0.08 \times 0.03$
Uniq. reflections	4984	4638	8217	10,620
Reflections $[22\sigma (I)]$	4194	3304	4137	5259
Goodness of fit on $F^2$	1.038	1.002	0.961	0.979
R indices $[22\sigma]$	$R = 0.039$ ,	$R = 0.063$ ,	$R = 0.091$ ,	$R = 0.074$ ,
(I)	$wR = 0.101$	$wR = 0.148$	$wR = 0.160$	$wR = 0.149$
$R$ indices (all data)	$R = 0.046$ ,	$R = 0.088$ ,	$R = 0.176$ ,	$R = 0.157$ ,
	$wR = 0.107$	$wR = 0.164$	$wR = 0.193$	$wR = 0.181$
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}$ , $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (e A	$0.661, -0.390$	$1.629, -0.657$	$0.731, -0.545$	$0.821, -1.131$

Table 1. Crystallographic data and structure refinements for 1a–1c and 2d.

compounds for 72 h, inhibition of cell proliferation was measured. The experiments were repeated three times for each test. The dose causing  $50\%$  inhibition of cell growth  $(IC_{50})$ was calculated by NDST software as previously described [18].

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis

Under microwave irradiation at 400 W, the cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides reacted with tricyclohexyltin hydroxide in 1 : 1 M ratio in 1,4-dioxane for 20 min to form tricyclohexyltin carboxylates with a carboxylic acid group  $1a-1d$ , while in  $2:1 M$  ratio to obtain dinuclear 2a–2d in good yields (Scheme 1). The reaction provided a convenient method for preparing triorganotin carboxylates with a carboxylic acid group and dinuclear bistriorganotin carboxylates. These compounds are white crystals, air stable, and soluble in solvents such as benzene, trichloromethane, acetone, and methanol.

#### 3.2. IR Spectra

Compounds 1a–1d show broad  $v(OH)$  at  $\sim$ 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup> and strong  $v(C=O)$  at 1667– 1688 cm<sup>-1</sup> and do not show the double bands of cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides at  $\sim$ 1850



Scheme 1. Synthetic route.

and  $1790 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , indicating that the reaction of dicarboxylic anhydride with tricyclohexyltin hydroxide has formed the products (1a–1d) with the carboxylic acid group. This is further supported by the appearance of a sharp band at  $\sim$ 490 cm<sup>-1</sup>, assignable to Sn-O stretch [19]. Compared with  $v(C=O)$  of the corresponding carboxylic acid, benzoic acid  $(1689 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , 2,3,4,5-tetrafluorobenzoic acid  $(1690 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , 2-butenoic acid  $(1703 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , and butanoic acid (1708 cm<sup>-1</sup>),  $v(C=O)$  of the carboxylic acid of 1a, 1c, and 1d shift to low frequencies while that of 1b has little change. This indicates that C=O of the carboxylic acid in 1a, 1c, and 1d is coordinated to tin while in 1b it is not consistent with their X-ray diffraction results. In 2a–2d,  $v(OH)$  and  $v(C=O)$  disappear, indicating this carboxylic acid group further reacts with the second tricyclohexyltin hydroxide. In all compounds (1 and 2), strong bands at 1613–1654 and 1328–1387 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the asymmetrical stretch  $[v_{as}(CO_2^-)]$  and symmetrical stretch  $[v_s(CO_2^-)]$  of carboxylates, respectively. In organotin carboxylates, IR spectroscopy provides useful information concerning the coordination of carboxylate. Generally, the difference between the  $v_{\text{as}}(CO_2^-)$  and  $v_{\text{s}}(CO_2^-)$ bands,  $\Delta v(CO_2^-)$ , of bidentate carboxylate is smaller than 200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while unidentate carboxylate is larger than 200 cm<sup>-1</sup> [20,21]. The magnitudes  $(242-312 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  of  $\Delta v (\text{CO}_2^-)$ in 1 and 2 indicate that carboxylate is monodentate in the solid state, in agreement with X-ray diffraction analyses of 1a–1c and 2d.

#### 3.3. NMR spectra

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the compounds show broad single resonances at  $\sim$ 12 ppm, further, confirming the presence of the carboxylic acid group in 1a–1d. All compounds show multiplet at 1.16–2.14 ppm due to cyclohexyl protons. The  $^{13}$ C chemical shifts of the carboxyl carbon are  $167-176$  ppm. Resonances of cyclohexyl carbons are at ca. 34, 31, 29, and 27 ppm, respectively, and the spin–spin coupling constants  ${}^{1}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$ ,  ${}^{2}J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$ , and  $3J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$  are ca. 340, 15, and 65 Hz, respectively. The coordination number of tin in organotin compounds has been related to  ${}^{1}J({}^{119}Sn-{}^{13}C)$  coupling constants [22]. The <sup>1</sup>  $J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$  value of the compounds is close to that of corresponding four-coordinate tricyclohexyltin carboxylates, such as  $2\text{-}HOC_6H_4N=\text{NC}_6H_4\text{COOSn}(c-C_6H_{11})_3$  ( $\frac{1}{J}(\frac{119}{3}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C})$ = 335 Hz) [23] and  $(c-C_6H_{11})_3$ SnO<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Sn( $c-C_6H_{11}$ )<sub>3</sub> ( $^1J(^{119}Sn^{-13}C)$  $= 325$  Hz) [11], suggesting that tin in these compounds is four coordinate in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution.

The <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shifts primarily depend on coordination number and the nature of the donor directly bonded to tin  $[24]$ . The  $^{119}$ Sn chemical shifts of 1 and 2 (10–18 ppm) are in accord with values found for four-coordinate tin in solution of noncoordinating solvent [11,25,26], which confirms that there is not  $C=O \rightarrow Sn$  coordination in solution.

#### 3.4. X-ray structures of complexes 1a-1c and 2d

The structures of 1a–1c and 2d are shown in figures 1–8 and selected geometric parameters are given in table 2.

Compound 1a (figure 1) crystallizes in monoclinic space group  $C2/c$  and is a chain polymer associating *via* unidentate carboxylate  $O(2)$  and carbonyl oxygen  $O(4)$  of the carboxylic acid group with distance of 9.138(3) Å between two tins (figure 2). Sn in this polymeric structure is distorted  $trans-O<sub>2</sub>SnC<sub>3</sub>$  trigonal bipyramid with trigonal plane defined by three cyclohexyl groups. The C–Sn–C angles are 115.41(18)–127.46(18)°. The axial positions are occupied by carboxylate O(2) and O(4)<sup>#1</sup> (symmetry code #1: x,-y + 1,  $z + 1/2$ ) of the carboxylic acid of an adjacent molecule with the 171.55(11)° O(2)–Sn(1)–O  $(4)^{\#1}$  angle. The Sn–C lengths from 2.123(4) to 2.156(4) Å are similar to those found in other five-coordinate tricyclohexyltin carboxylates, such as  $3-C_5H_4NCO_2Sn(c C_6H_{11}$ )<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O) [27] and (4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCH(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OMe-4)CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O) [28]. The covalent  $Sn(1)-O(2)$  (2.247(3) Å) and coordinate  $Sn(1)-O(4)$  (2.434(3) Å) bond distances are consistent with those reported. There is an intramolecular hydrogen bond O  $(3)$ –H(3) $\cdot$  ·O(1) between carboxylic acid O–H and carbonyl O(1) of carboxylate (table 3).



Figure 1. The asymmetric unit of 1a. Hydrogens except H3 are omitted for clarity.



Figure 2. The polymeric structure of 1a.



Figure 3. The molecular structure of 1b. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.



Figure 4. The dimeric structure of 1b formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

Compound 1b (figure 3) crystallizes in triclinic space group  $P-1$ . Tin is four coordinate and possesses a distorted  $SnC_3O$  tetrahedral geometry. Bond distances around tin (Sn–C bond length of 2.125(9)–2.152(8) Å and Sn(1)–O(1) bond length of 2.069(4) Å) are in agreement with those found in reported tricyclohexyltin carboxylates such as tricyclohexyltin 3-indoleacetate [29]. The distance  $(3.018(5)$  Å) between Sn(1) and O(2) is considerably longer than a normal OSn coordination bond ( $\sim$ 2.40 Å), but much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of these atoms  $(3.77 \text{ Å})$  [30]. This indicates weak interactions which distort the tetrahedral geometry. The  $O(1)$ –Sn(1)–C(1) and  $C(7)$ –Sn(1)–C(1) angles are 93.9(2) and 117.0(3)°, respectively. Monodentate coordination of benzoate is reflected in the disparate C–O bond lengths of carboxylate  $(C(19)-O(1)$  1.284(8) Å and  $C(19)-O(2)$ 1.200(8) Å). In the crystal structure of 1b, neighboring molecules are linked into  $R_2^2(8)$ hydrogen-bonded dimers by a pair of hydrogen bonds  $[O(3)-H(3)\cdots O(4)^{H2}$  and  $O(3)^{H2}-H$  $(3)^{\#2} \cdots$ O(4)] between free carboxylic acid groups (figure 4 and table 3).



Figure 5. The asymmetric unit of 1c. Hydrogens except H2 and H6 are omitted for clarity.



Figure 6. The polymeric structure of 1c.

Compound 1c (figure 5) crystallizes in orthorhombic space group Pbcn. The asymmetric unit contains two (Z)-HO<sub>2</sub>CCH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Sn( $c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> which do not differ from each other significantly. Molecules of 1c are connected into an infinite S-shape-like chain (figure 6) by coordination of carbonyl oxygen of carboxylic acid to another tin (Sn(1)–O(5) 2.431(7) Å and Sn(2)–O(1)<sup>#3</sup> 2.386(8) Å) (symmetry code #3:  $-x+1/2$ ,  $-y+3/2$ ,  $z+1/2$ ). Each tin is five-coordinate and possesses a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry with axial positions being occupied by the O(3) and O(7) of unidentate carboxylate and O(5) and O(1)<sup>#3</sup> of carboxylic acid of an adjacent molecule at the other end  $(O(3)-Sn(1)-O(5))$  173.2(3)<sup>o</sup> and  $O(7)$ –Sn(2)– $O(1)$ <sup>#3</sup> 176.1(3)°). The C–O bond distances in the carboxylate are readily distinguishable: C(4)–O(3) 1.276(10) and C(4)=O(4) 1.233(10) Å, C(26)–O(7) 1.277(12) and  $C(26)=O(8)$  1.233(12) Å. In contrast, in carboxylate-bridged tricyclohexyltin carboxylates, such as  $CH_3CH_2CH_2CO_2Sn(c-C_6H_{11})_3$ , carboxylate C–O bond lengths are nearly equal, at 1.268(2) and 1.254(2) Å [29]. The Sn(1) and Sn(2) are 0.117(1) and 0.091(1) Å



Figure 7. The asymmetric unit of 2d. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.



Figure 8. Sn $\cdots$ O weak interactions in 2d between carbonyl oxygen and tin.

out of the  $C_3$  trigonal plane in the direction of the more tightly held  $O(3)$  and  $O(7)$ , respectively. The dihedral angle between two  $C_3$  trigonal planes is 44.01°. The distance between  $Sn(1)$  and  $Sn(2)$  is 9.863(3) Å. The tin-oxygen distances are  $Sn(1)-O(3)$  2.245(6), Sn(1)–O(5) 2.430(6), Sn(2)–O(7) 2.251(7), and Sn(2)–O(1)<sup>#3</sup> 2.386(7) Å, well within the range usually observed for tricyclotin carboxylates such as  $3-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NCO<sub>2</sub>Sn(c C_6H_{11}$ )<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O) [27] and CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Sn(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [31].

Compound 2d (figure 7) crystallizes in triclinic space group  $P-1$  and an asymmetric unit contains one and a half molecules. Compound 2d is dinuclear in which each tin possesses distorted tetrahedral geometry. The four coordination of tin comes from three cyclohexyl

1a					
$Sn(1) - C(9)$ $Sn(1) - C(15)$	2.156(4) 2.123(4)	$Sn(1) - C(21)$ $Sn(1) - O(2)$	2.128(4) 2.247(3)	$Sn(1) - O(4)^{#1}$	2.434(3)
$C(9)$ -Sn(1)-C(15)	115.41(18)	$C(21) - Sn(1) - O(2)$	96.62(16)	$C(21)$ -Sn(1)-O(4) <sup>#1</sup>	88.06(17)
$C(9)$ -Sn(1)-C(21)	127.46(18)	$C(9)$ -Sn(1)-O(2)	93.97(14)	$C(9)$ -Sn(1)-O(4) <sup>#1</sup>	77.61(15)
$C(15)-Sn(1)-C(21)$	115.6(2)	$C(15)-Sn(1)-O(4)^{#1}$	93.02(19)	$O(2)$ -Sn(1)- $O(4)^{\#1}$	171.55(11)
$C(15)-Sn(1)-O(2)$	91.30(18)				
1b					
$Sn(1)-O(1)$	2.069(4)	$Sn(1) - C(7)$	2.125(9)	$Sn(1) - C(13)$	2.140(8)
$Sn(1) - C(1)$	2.152(8)				
$O(1)$ -Sn(1)-C(7)	107.1(3)	$O(1)$ -Sn(1)-C(1)	93.9(2)	$C(7)-Sn(1)-C(1)$	117.0(3)
$O(1)$ -Sn(1)-C(13)	107.1(2)	$C(7)-Sn(1)-C(13)$	116.9(3)	$C(13) - Sn(1) - C(1)$	111.7(3)
1 <sub>c</sub>					
$Sn(1) - C(5)$	2.162(9)	$Sn(1) - O(5)$	2.431(7)	$Sn(2) - C(39)$	2.112(11)
$Sn(1) - C(11)$	2.119(8)	$Sn(2) - C(27)$	2.099(9)	$Sn(2) - O(7)$	2.248(7)
$Sn(1) - C(17)$	2.145(9)	$Sn(2) - C(33)$	2.084(9)	$Sn(2)-O(1)^{#3}$	2.386(7)
$Sn(1) - O(3)$	2.244(6)				
$C(11) - Sn(1) - C(17)$	117.7(4)	$C(17)-Sn(1)-O(5)$	86.0(3)	$C(27)-Sn(2)-O(7)$	99.0(4)
$C(11)$ -Sn $(1)$ -C $(5)$	113.7(4)	$C(5)-Sn(1)-O(5)$	88.5(3)	$C(39) - Sn(2) - O(7)$	86.7(4)
$C(17)-Sn(1)-C(5)$	127.7(3)	$O(3)$ -Sn(1)-O(5)	173.3(2)	$C(33) - Sn(2) - O(1)^{#3}$	89.4(5)
$C(11) - Sn(1) - O(3)$	88.2(4)	$C(33) - Sn(2) - C(27)$	122.2(5)	$C(27)-Sn(2)-O(1)^{#3}$	83.1(4)
$C(17)-Sn(1)-O(3)$	92.5(3)	$C(33) - Sn(2) - C(39)$	124.7(5)	$C(39) - Sn(2) - O(1)^{#3}$	89.5(4)
$C(5)-Sn(1)-O(3)$	97.6(3)	$C(27) - Sn(2) - C(39)$	112.5(5)	$O(7)$ -Sn(2)- $O(1)$ <sup>#3</sup>	176.1(3)
$C(11) - Sn(1) - O(5)$	86.7(4)	$C(33) - Sn(2) - O(7)$	92.2(4)		
2d					
$Sn(1) - C(1)$	2.159(11)	$Sn(2) - C(23)$	2.155(12)	$Sn(3) - C(41)$	2.144(10)
$Sn(1) - C(7)$	2.126(12)	$Sn(2) - C(29)$	2.127(12)	$Sn(3) - C(47)$	2.143(10)
$Sn(1) - C(13)$	2.172(11)	$Sn(2) - C(35)$	2.130(10)	$Sn(3) - C(53)$	2.133(12)
$Sn(1) - O(1)$	2.040(7)	$Sn(2) - O(3)$	2.057(6)	$Sn(3)-O(5)$	2.079(10)
$O(1)$ -Sn $(1)$ -C $(7)$	94.5(4)	$O(3)$ -Sn $(2)$ -C $(29)$	95.8(3)	$O(5)$ -Sn(3)-C(53)	104.2(5)
$O(1)$ -Sn(1)-C(1)	111.0(4)	$O(3)$ -Sn $(2)$ -C $(35)$	105.8(3)	$O(5)$ -Sn $(3)$ -C $(47)$	106.0(4)
$C(7)-Sn(1)-C(1)$	114.4(4)	$C(29) - Sn(2) - C(35)$	108.8(5)	$C(53) - Sn(3) - C(47)$	119.8(4)
$O(1)$ -Sn $(1)$ -C $(13)$	108.6(4)	$O(3)$ -Sn(2)-C(23)	107.0(4)	$O(5)$ -Sn $(3)$ -C $(41)$	91.0(4)
$C(7)-Sn(1)-C(13)$	117.0(5)	$C(29) - Sn(2) - C(23)$	117.5(5)	$C(53) - Sn(3) - C(41)$	116.4(5)
$C(1)$ -Sn(1)-C(13)	110.2(5)	$C(23) - Sn(2) - C(35)$	118.7(4)	$C(47)$ -Sn(3)-C(41)	113.7(4)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1a–1c and 2d.

Note: Symmetry codes: #1  $x, -y + 1, z + 1/2$ ; #3  $-x + 1/2, -y + 3/2, z + 1/2$ .





Note: Symmetry code: #2  $-x, -y, -z + 2$ .

groups and a carboxylate oxygen which is monodentate. The parameters around the three tins in the asymmetric unit do not differ significantly; the range of  $Sn(1)-C$ ,  $Sn(2)-C$ , and Sn(3)–C bond distances is 2.126(12)–2.172(11), 2.130(10)–2.155(12), and 2.133(12)–2.144

Compound	A549	Hela
1a	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$
1 <sub>b</sub>	$0.68 \pm 0.08$	$0.133 \pm 0.004$
1c	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.39 \pm 0.04$
1 <sub>d</sub>	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.57 \pm 0.01$
2a	$0.25 \pm 0.04$	$0.062 \pm 0.006$
2 <sub>b</sub>	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.074 \pm 0.004$
2c	$0.63 \pm 0.02$	$0.104 \pm 0.006$
2d	$0.83 \pm 0.01$	$0.116 \pm 0.006$
$cis$ -platin	$9.5 \pm 0.4$	$5 \pm 1$

Table 4. Cytotoxicities  $[IC_{50} (\mu mol L^{-1})]$  of the compounds.

(10) Å, respectively, similar to that found in  $4\text{-}O_2NC_6H_4OCH_2CO_2Sn(C_6H_1-C_3)$  [32]. The distance between carbonyl oxygen  $O(4)$  and  $Sn(3)$  of an adjacent molecule is 3.176(3) Å, much larger than the distance  $(\sim 2.4 \text{ Å})$  of Sn–O coordination bond but smaller than the sum (3.77 Å) of the van der Waals radii of tin and oxygen [30]. By weak intermolecular  $Sn \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  interactions, the distorted trigonal bipyramidal units are linked into a trimer supramolecular structure (figure 8) in which the distances between Sn(1) and Sn(2), Sn(2) and Sn (3), and Sn(3) and Sn(3)<sup>#4</sup> (symmetry code #4:  $-x,1-y,-z$ ) are 7.150(6), 5.666(5), and 9.063(6) Å, respectively.

#### 3.5. In vitro cytotoxicity

To evaluate the cytotoxicities of the tricyclohexyltin carboxylates, we test the activities against two human tumor cell lines, A549 and HeLa. The results of the cytotoxic assays are shown in table 4. These compounds are active and their cytotoxic activities are higher than those of *cis*-platin, an anticancer drug. The data from table 4 also reveal that dinuclear 2 are more active against the cell lines than the mononuclear 1. The activities of all compounds against A549 are similar to that of reported analogs,  $3,4-(H_2N)_2C_6H_3COOSnPh_3$  $(IC_{50} 0.30 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$  and  $3,4-(H_2N)_2C_6H_3COOSn(C_4H_9-n)_3$   $(IC_{50} 0.57 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$  [33]. The activity against the HeLa is comparable with the result of our previously reported tricyclohexyltin 2-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate  $(IC_{50}$  0.1867  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) [25]. However, their activities are lower than those of the recently reported triorganotin selenites [34]. As these results are preliminary, further study on the antitumor effects of these compounds is highly recommended.

#### 4. Conclusion

We have developed a convenient synthetic procedure for triorganotin carboxylates by using the reaction of triorganotin hydroxide with cyclic dicarboxylic anhydrides under microwave irradiation. In the solid state, 1a and 1c possess a *trans-C*<sub>3</sub>SnO<sub>2</sub> trigonal bipyramidal geometry and form an infinite chain by coordination of carbonyl oxygen of carboxylic acid to tin of an adjacent molecule. Compound 1b shows a tetrahedral geometry and forms  $R_2^2(8)$  hydrogen-bonded dimers. Compound 2d is dinuclear in which tin possesses a distorted tetrahedral geometry and forms a trimer supramolecular structure by weak  $Sn \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  interactions. In noncoordinating solvents, these compounds are monomers. The compounds have potent in vitro cytotoxicities against A549 and HeLa, and can be considered as excellent antitumor compounds for further study.

#### Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for 1a–1c and 2d have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, CCDC Nos. 837934, 837935, 837936, and 867158. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via [http://](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif.](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif)

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (ZR2010BL012) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20931001; 21173126).

#### References

- [1] G. Matela, R. Aman. Cent. Eur. J. Chem., 10, 1 (2012).
- [2] M. Ashfaq, M.M. Ahmed, S. Shaheen, H. Oku, K. Mehmood, A. Khan, S.B. Niazi, T.M. Ansari, A. Jabbar, M.I. Khan. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 14, 5 (2011).
- [3] J. Cervantes, R. Zarraga, C.S. Hernandez. Appl. Organomet. Chem., 26, 157 (2012).
- [4] Q. Duong, X. Song, E. Mitrojorgji, S. Gordon, G. Eng. J. Organomet. Chem., 691, 1775 (2006).
- [5] M. Gielen, E.R.T. Tiekink. Tin Compounds and Their Therapeutic Potential. In Metallotherapeutic Drug and Metal-based Diagnostic Agents: The Use of Metals in Medicine, M. Gielen, E.R.T. Tiekink (Eds.), pp. 421–40, Wiley, New York, NY (2005).
- [6] S.K. Hadjikakou, N. Hadjiliadis. Coord. Chem. Rev., 253, 235 (2009).
- [7] D. Tzimopoulos, I. Sanidas, A.-C. Varvogli, A. Czapik, M. Gdaniec, E. Nikolakaki, P.D. Akrivos. J. Inorg. Biochem., 104, 423 (2010).
- [8] (a) V. Dokorou, A. Primikiri, D. Kovala-Demertzi. J. Inorg. Biochem., 105, 195 (2011); (b) M.A. Salam, M. A. Affan, F.B. Ahmad, M.A. Arafath, M.I.M. Tahir, M.B. Shamsuddin. J. Coord. Chem., 65, 3174 (2012).
- [9] X. Shang, X. Meng, E.C.B.A. Alegria, Q. Li, M.F.C. Guedes Da Silva, M.L. Kuznetsov, A.J.L. Pombeiro. Inorg. Chem., 50, 8158 (2011).
- [10] R. Garcia-Zarracino, H. Hopfl. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 127, 3120 (2005).
- [11] J. Chalupa, K. Handlir, I. Cisarova, R. Jirasko, J. Brus, A. Lycka, A. Ruzicka, J. Holecek. J. Organomet. Chem., 691, 2631 (2006).
- [12] (a) C. Ma, Y. Wang, R. Zhang. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 362, 4137 (2009); (b) I.F. Hernandez-Ahuactzi, J. Cruz-Huerta, V. Barba, H. Hopfl, L.S. Zamudio-Rivera, H.I. Beltran. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1200 (2008).
- [13] P. Lidstrom, J. Tierney, B. Wathey, J. Westman. Tetrahedron, 57, 9225 (2001).
- [14] V. Chandrasekhar, S. Nagendran, V. Baskar. Coord. Chem. Rev., 235, 1 (2002).
- [15] A.S. Lewis, P.J. Smith, J.H. Aupers, D. Hampson, D.C. Povey. J. Organomet. Chem., 437, 131 (1992).
- [16] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXTL, Version 5.10, Structure Determination Software Programs, Bruker Analytical Xray Systems Inc., Madison, WI (1997).
- [17] F. Denizot, R. Lang. J. Immunol. Methods, 89, 271 (1986).
- [18] X.-L. Zheng, H.-X. Sun, X.-L. Liu, Y.-X. Chen, B.-C. Qian. Acta Pharmacol. Sin., 25, 109 (2004).
- [19] S. Rehman, K. Shahid, S. Ali, M.H. Bhatti, M. Parvez. J. Organomet. Chem., 690, 1396 (2005).
- [20] W. Li, D. Du, S. Liu, C. Zhu, A.M. Sakho, D. Zhu, L. Xu. J. Organomet. Chem., 695, 2153 (2010).
- [21] G.B. Deacon, R.J. Phillips. Coord. Chem. Rev., 33, 227 (1980).
- [22] J. Holecek, M. Nadvornik, K. Handlir, A. Lycka. J. Organomet. Chem., 241, 177 (1983).
- [23] R. Willem, I. Verbruggen, M. Gielen, M. Biesemans, B. Mahieu, T.S. Basu Baul, E.R.T. Tiekink. Organometallics, 17, 5758 (1998).
- [24] A.G. Davis. Organotin Chemistry. pp. 8–23, 2nd Edn,Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (2004).
- [25] L. Tian, Y. Sun, H. Li, X. Zheng, Y. Cheng, X. Liu, B. Qian. J. Inorg. Biochem., 99, 1646 (2005).
- [26] X. Fang, X. Song, Q. Xie. J. Organomet. Chem., 619, 43 (2001).
- [27] S.-G. Teoh, D.-S. Tan, G.-Y. Yeap, H.-K. Fun. J. Coord. Chem., 48, 53 (1999).
- [28] I.U. Din, K.C. Molloy, M. Mazhar, S. Dastgir, S. Ali, M.F. Mahon. Appl. Organomet. Chem., 17, 781 (2003).
- [29] K.C. Molloy, T.G. Purcell, E. Hahn, H. Schumann, J.J. Zuckerman. Organometallics, 5, 85 (1986).
- [30] A. Bondi. *J. Phys. Chem.*, **68**, 441 (1964).
- [31] R.-J. Wang, H.-G. Wang, X.-K. Yao, Q.-L. Xie, M.-D. Wang. Acta Chim. Sinica, 47, 209 (1989).
- [32] L.-J. Tian, H.-X. Yu, Y.-X. Sun, F.-Y. Yu. Acta Crystallogr., E62, m7 (2006).
- [33] F.P. Pruchnik, M. Banbula, Z. Ciunik, M. Latocha, B. Skop, T. Wilczok. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 356, 62 (2003).
- [34] R.-F. Zhang, J. Ru, Z.-X. Li, C.-L. Ma, J.-P. Zhang. J. Coord. Chem., 64, 4122 (2011).